The following is a short report of what the participants thought of 1) the preparation of the virtual EGM and 2) the experience of the EGM itself. Thank you to all the participants who responded to the survey. A total of 142 people responded to the survey.

**Survey Results**

Q1. Which group are you from?

- Voting Delegate: 61%
- Second Delegate: 4%
- BWF Council Member: 6%
- Observer: 6%
- Continental Confederation Staff: 6%
- Others: 1%

142 responses – 86 Voting Delegates / 32 Second Delegates / 6 BWF Council Member/ 8 Observer/ 8 CC Staff/ 2 Others

Q2. Where did you login from?

- Africa: 32%
- Asia: 15%
- Europe: 25%
- Oceania: 8%
- Pan America: 20%

142 Responses – Africa (35) / Asia (21) / Europe (46) / Oceania (12)/ Pan America (28)
Q3. Overall impression of your virtual EGM experience

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good

Q4. Your internet connection – how was your connection during the EGM?

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good
Questions 6 to 10 (over) relate to the activities leading up to the decision-making virtual EGM - meeting material / webinar / 6 regional video conferences / webinar for delegates / test login.
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1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good

Q6. How do you rate the preparation material (Agenda, Annexure etc) sent before the meeting?

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good

Q7. How do you rate the Introduction Webinar (18 Jan)

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good
Q8. How do you rate the Regional video conferences (20 - 27 Jan)

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good

Q9. How do you rate the Webinar for voting delegates (28 Jan)

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good
Thank you to all the participants who responded to the survey.

Q10. How do you rate the Test login for the EGM (29 Jan)

1 = Very Bad | 4 = Very Good